Designing for fewer defects firewalls instrumentation	Debugging tips	The scientific approach to debugging hypothesis refutation occam's razor	Terminology Bugs vs. Defects	Debugging & Defensive Programming	University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

exceptions

				ARION	
Some symptoms are hard to reproduce especially timing problems The symptom might not be the result of an But assume it is until you can prove otherwise	Difficulties: The symptom and the defect may be geogra especially in a closely coupled program The symptom might change if another defec The defect may be in the verification proce	The Debugging Process: requires: a symptom of a problem effects: defect corrected; symptom has dis fixed so mistake won't be repeated	"successful" testing the input is a list of tests that failed an inspection meeting the input is a list of issues raised failure to prove correctness (?)	Debugging follows	University of Toronto
defect e	uphically remote :t is corrected :dure	appeared; process has been	basics	Debugging	Department of Computer Science

CSC444 Lec11- 3

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook



© 2001. Steve Fasterhrook	devise tests to eliminate them one by one (try to find the simplest input that shows the symptom)	Brute force and ignorance: take memory dumps, do run-time tracing, put print statements everywhere You will be swamped with data!! Backtracking: Begin at the point where the symptom occurs trace backwards step by step, by hand Only feasible for small programs Cause elimination: Use deduction to list all possible causes	University of Toronto
	Occam's Razor The simplest hypothesis is usually the correct one	The Scientific Method 1) Study the available data which tests worked? which tests did not work? 2) Form a hypothesis that is consistent with (all) the data 3) Design an experiment to refute the hypothesis the experiment must be repeatable don't try to prove your hypothesis, try to disprove it	Department of Computer Science Source: Adapted from Liskov & Guttag, 2000, section 10.9

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook

CSC444 Lec11- 4





likely to contain defects	test drivers, stubs, test cases, are just as	code	Check your input as well as your	sometime proving the things you think you know will demonstrate that you were wrong	not	Ask yourself where the detect is		occasionally review your reasoning	keep an open mind	you think it is	The defect is probably not where	use binary chop to locate defects	add in diagnostic code if necessary	Examine intermediate results	Source: Adapted from Liskov & Gu	University of Toronto
Take a break	source code	Make sure you have the right	I wo heads are better than one	Get someone to help	missing close comment bracket	failure to parenthesize an expression	failure to re-initialize a variable	failure to initialize a variable	reversed order of parameters	Try simple things first		a fully tested procedure can still contain defects	take for granted	Think carefully about what you can	ttag, 2000, section 10.9 Debugging Tips	Department of Computer Science

Don't be in a hurry to fix it It's worthwhile finding as many defects as possible, and fixing them all together (because regression testing is expensive) Is the defect repeated elsewhere? You may be able to track down other instances of the same problem What 'next defect' will be introduced by your fix? Think about how the fix degrades your original design especially look at its effect on coupling and cohesion What could you have done to avoid the defect in the first place? This is the first step towards process improvement Correct the process as well as the product LEARN FROM YOUR MISTAKESI THEY ARE NOT 'BUGS', THEY ARE DEFECTSI

Use a configuration management if you are modifying different parts of the	Don't skip the regression testing! Should run a // tests again after making any c Don't just run the ones that failed	Design a change process Ensure all changes are agreed by the team Use a "request for change" form Distribute the completed forms to clients, su	 Wiversity of Toronto Keep a record of all changes Comment each procedure / module with author date list of tests performed list of modifications (date, person, reason for
tool software in parallel	hanges.	ıbcontractors, etc.	bepartment of Computer Science Change Management change)

If the programming language support use the full power of the language e.g. in Java, making all exceptions "checked" lead but you'll still need to document them e.g. Java doesn't force you to say why a method otherwise: 1) declare an enumerated type for exception na enum str_exceptions (okay, null_pointer, empty_s 2) have the procedure return an extra return veither: str_exceptions palindrome(char *s, boo or: boolean palindrome(char *s, str_exceptions) 3) test for exceptions each time you call the pre-e.g. if (palindrome(my_string, &result)==oka else /*handle exception*/ 4) write exception handlers procedures that can be called to patch things up	University of Toronto Department Exception Handling
--	--

University of Toronto Department of Computer Scien
The calling procedure is responsible for:
checking that an exception did not occur handling it if it did
Could handle the exception by:
reflecting it up to the next level
i.e. the caller also throws an exception (up to the next level of the program)
Can throw the same exception (automatic propogation)
or a different exception (more context info available!) masking it
i.e. the caller fixes the problem and carries on (or repeats the procedure call)
halt the program immediately
equivalent to passing it all the way up to the top level
© 2001 Stave Fasterbrook

Users should never see exceptions, nor error codes!
between program units only (i.e. internally)
Exceptions are for communication
E.g. Can use Java exception mechanism for alternative control flows But this makes the program harder to understand, so don't overuse them
Exceptions can be used for "normal behaviours"
The exception result could get used as real data!
In general, exceptions should be kept separate from normal return values e.g. avoid using special values of the normal return value to signal exceptions
Normal behavior vs. Exceptional Behaviour
unless checking for the exception is very expensive or the exception can never occur (be careful!)
In general it is better to eliminate partial procedures
Partial procedures vs. Exceptions
When to use exceptions
University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

7 JULI Stave Festerbrook	
p379 for the history of the term 'bug', and a picture of the first 'bug'	
Blum, B. "Software Engineering: A Holistic View". Oxford University Press, 1992	
Liskov and Guttag's section 10.9 includes one of the best treatments of debugging I have come across. Chapter 4 is a thorough treatment of Java exceptions, with lots of tips on how to use exceptions sensibly	
Liskov, B. and Guttag, J., "Program Development in Java: Abstraction, Specification and Object-Oriented Design", 2000, Addison-Wesley.	
References	
University of Toronto Department of Computer Science	