

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Lecture 3: Project Management

### Project Management

- Planning Tools
- PERT charts, Gantt Charts, etc.
- Meetings

### Risk Management

- Risk Assessment
- Risk Control

### Measurement

- choosing software metrics
- some example metrics

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 1

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Project Management Basics

### Thankless job:

- success is not noticeable
- little evidence the manager did anything
- project looks simple in hindsight
- failure is very obvious
- the manager will get blamed when things go wrong

### Difficult Job

Problems to solve include:

- Do we have the resources (funding, people, time) for the task?
- Are we certain the task is stated correctly?
- How can we use limited resources most effectively?
- How does recent (lack of) progress affect the plan?
- What lessons can be learnt for future tasks?

Source: Adapted from Blum, 1992, 426-7  
see also van Elst Chapter 2

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 2

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Principles of Management

### A manager can control 4 things:

- Resources (can get more dollars, facilities, personnel)
- Time (can increase schedule, delay milestones, etc.)
- Product (can reduce functionality - e.g. scrub requirements)
- Risk (can decide which risks are acceptable)

### Approach (applies to any management)

- Understand the goals and objectives - quantify them where possible
- Understand the constraints - with uncertainty, use probability estimates
- Plan to meet the objectives within the constraints
- Monitor and adjust the plan
- Preserve a calm, productive, positive work environment

**Note: You cannot control what you cannot measure!**

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 3

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Critique of Mars'98 Program

Source: Adapted from MPIAT 2000, p6

```

graph TD
    S[Science (functionality)  
Fixed (growth)] --> IM[Inadequate Margins]
    LV[Launch Vehicle  
Fixed (Some Relief)] --> IM
    C[Cost  
Fixed] --> IM
    Sch[Schedule  
Fixed] --> IM
    S --> R[Risk Only variable]
  
```

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 4

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Tool 1: Work Breakdown Structure

Source: Adapted from Blum, 1992, p438  
see also: van Vliet pp192-3

- 1.1 Software Systems Engineering
  - 1.1.1 Support to Systems Engineering
  - 1.1.2 Support to Hardware Engineering
  - 1.1.3 Software Engineering Trade Studies
  - 1.1.4 System Requirements Analysis
  - 1.1.5 Software Requirements Analysis
  - 1.1.6 Interface Analysis
  - 1.1.7 Support to Systems Test
- 1.2 Software Development
  - 1.2.1 Deliverable Software
    - 1.2.1.1 Requirements Analysis
    - 1.2.1.2 Architectural Design
    - 1.2.1.3 Procedural Design
    - 1.2.1.4 Code
    - 1.2.1.5 Unit Test
    - 1.2.1.6 Software Integration Test
    - 1.2.1.7 Technical Reviews
    - 1.2.1.8 Technical Training
  - 1.2.2 Non-deliverable Software
    - 1.2.2.1 Package Evaluation
    - 1.2.2.2 Development facilities and tools
- 1.3 Software Test and Evaluation
  - 1.3.1 Software Dev. Test & Evaluation
  - 1.3.2 End-Product Acceptance Test
  - 1.3.3 Test Bed & Tool Support
  - 1.3.4 Test Data Management
- 1.4 Management
  - 1.4.1 Project Management
  - 1.4.2 Administrative Support
  - 1.4.3 Management Tools
  - 1.4.4 Management Reviews
  - 1.4.5 Management Training
- 1.5 Product Assurance
  - 1.5.1 Configuration Management
  - 1.5.2 Library Operations
  - 1.5.3 Interface Control
  - 1.5.4 Data Management
  - 1.5.5 Quality Assurance
  - 1.5.6 Quality Control
- 1.6 Operations and Support
  - ...

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 5

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Tool 2: PERT charts

Source: Adapted from Blum, 1992, p439  
see also: van Vliet pp193-6

```

graph LR
    0((0)) -- t_e=6 --> 1((1))
    0 -- t_e=4 --> 2((2))
    1 -- t_e=2 --> 5((5))
    2 -- t_e=6 --> 4((4))
    5 -- t_e=4 --> 8((8))
    3((3)) -- t_e=11 --> 5
    3 -- t_e=9 --> 7((7))
    4 -- t_e=7 --> 6((6))
    6 -- t_e=7 --> 9((9))
    7 -- t_e=1 --> 10((10))
    8 -- t_e=3 --> 10
    9 -- t_e=9 --> 10
  
```

**Notation**  
 Nodes indicate milestones  
 Edges indicate dependencies  
 Edges are labelled with time to complete

**Shows Critical Path**  
 Longest path from start to finish  
 any slippage on the critical path will cause project delay

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 6

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Tool 3: Gantt Charts

see also: van Vliet pp195-6

Task	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
1.2 Software Development	6-13	13-20	20-27	27-31	
1.2.1 Requirements Analysis	6-13	13-20	20-27	27-31	
1.2.2 Architectural Design	13-20	20-27	27-31		
1.2.3 Procedural Design	20-27	27-31			
1.2.4 Code			14-21	21-28	
1.3 Testing			14-21	21-28	
1.3.1 Unit Test			14-21	21-28	
1.3.2 Integration Test			21-28	28-31	
1.3.3 Acceptance Test			28-31		
1.4 Operations				11-18	18-25
1.4.1 Packaging				18-25	25-31
1.4.2 Customer Training				25-31	

**Notation**  
 Bars show duration of tasks  
 Triangles show milestones  
 Vertical dashed lines show dependencies

**Shows high level view of whole project**

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 7

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Tool 4: Meetings

Source: Adapted from Pfeiffer, 1998, 92

**Meetings are expensive**  
 E.g. 8 people on \$40k. Meeting costs \$320 per hour

**Meetings are necessary**  
 Can save money by averting misunderstandings and coordination errors

**Time wasters:**  
 Purpose of meeting unclear  
 Attendees unprepared  
 Essential people missing  
 Discussion gets sidetracked  
 Dominance by one or two people  
 argumentative  
 Decisions not followed up on

**Meetings advice:**  
 Announce details in advance  
 who should attend  
 start and end times  
 goals of meeting  
 Written agenda, distributed in advance  
 Identify a chairperson who:  
 keeps the discussion on track  
 resolves arguments  
 Identify a secretary who:  
 keeps track of decisions taken  
 records action items  
 ensures action items are carried out  
 Associate a responsible person with each action item

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 8

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Risk Management

Two Parts:

- Risk Assessment
- Risk Control

Definitions

Risk Exposure (RE) =  $p(\text{unsat. outcome}) \times \text{loss}(\text{unsat. outcome})$

Risk Reduction Leverage (RRL) =  $(RE_{\text{before}} - RE_{\text{after}}) / \text{cost of intervention}$

Principles

If you don't actively attack risks, they will attack you

Risk prevention is cheaper than risk detection

Degree and Cause of Risk must never be hidden from decision makers

"The real professional ... knows the risks, their degree, their causes, and the action necessary to counter them, and shares this knowledge with [her] colleagues and clients" (Tom Gilb)

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 9

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Top Ten Risks (with Countermeasures)

Source: Adapted from Boehm, 1989 see also: van Vliet p192

<b>Personnel Shortfalls</b> use top talent team building training	<b>Continuing stream of requirements changes</b> high change threshold information hiding incremental development
<b>Unrealistic schedules and budgets</b> multisource estimation designing to cost requirements scrubbing	<b>Shortfalls in externally furnished components</b> early benchmarking inspections, compatibility analysis
<b>Developing the wrong Software functions</b> better requirements analysis organizational/operational analysis	<b>Shortfalls in externally performed tasks</b> pre-award audits competitive designs
<b>Developing the wrong User Interface</b> prototypes, scenarios, task analysis	<b>Real-time performance shortfalls</b> targeted analysis simulations, benchmarks, models
<b>Gold Plating</b> requirements scrubbing cost benefit analysis designing to cost	<b>Straining computer science capabilities</b> technical analysis checking scientific literature

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 10

University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Principles of Measurement

Types of Metric

- algorithmic vs. subjective
- process vs. product

Good metrics are:

- simple (to collect and interpret)
- valid (measure what they purport to measure)
- robust (insensitive to manipulation)
- prescriptive
- analyzable

5 types of scale

- nominal (=, ≠ make sense; discrete categories)
- ordinal (<, >, =, make sense; e.g. oven temps: cool, warm, hot, very hot)
- interval (+, -, <, >, = make sense; e.g. temperature in centigrade)
- ratio ( $\times$ ,  $\div$ , +, -, <, >, = make sense; e.g. temperature in Kelvin)
- absolute (a natural number count)

"You Cannot Control What You Cannot Measure"

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 11


University of Toronto Department of Computer Science

## Suggested metrics

- Plot planned and actual staffing levels over time
- Record number & type of code and test errors
- Plot number of resolved & unresolved problem reports over time
- Plot planned & actual number of units whose V&V is completed over time:
  - design reviews completed
  - unit tests completed
  - integration tests completed
- Plot software build size over time
- Plot average complexity for the 10% most complex units over time (using some suitable measure of complexity)
- Plot new, modified and reused SLOCs for each CSCI over time  
SLOC = Source Lines Of Code (decide how to count this!)
- Plot estimated schedule to completion based on deliveries achieved (needs a detailed WBS and PERT or GANTT chart)

Source: Adapted from Nusenoff & Bunde, 1993

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook CSC444 Lec03 12



University of Toronto

Department of Computer Science

## Summary

**Project management is difficult**

**First Plan the project**

- Requires Work Breakdown Structure
- Requires cost and effort data

**Then identify risks**


- Identify risk mitigation strategies
- Try for risk prevention

**Keep Measuring Progress**

- Choose metrics that help track progress towards goals
- Choose metrics that give early warning about risks

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook

CSC444 Lec03 13



University of Toronto

Department of Computer Science

## References

van Vliet, H. "Software Engineering: Principles and Practice (2nd Edition)" Wiley, 1999.

~ van Vliet organizes this material differently from the way it is presented here, and provides a lot more detail on some aspects (especially people management and cost estimation). Chapter 2 provides a brief but excellent intro. Chapters 5, 6 and 8 are definitely worth reading at this stage in the course.

Blum, B. "Software Engineering: A Holistic View". Oxford University Press, 1992.

Pfleeger, S. "Software Engineering: Theory and Practice". Prentice Hall, 1997.

Nusenoff, R. and Bunde, D. "A Guidebook and a Spreadsheet Tool for a Corporate Metrics Program". *Journal of Systems and Software*, Vol 23, pp245-255, 1993.

Boehm, B. "Software Risk Management". IEEE Computer Society Press. 1989.

MPIAT - Mars Program Independent Assessment Team Summary Report, NASA JPL, March 14, 2000.  
(available at <http://www.nasa.gov/newsinfo/marsreports.html>)

© 2001, Steve Easterbrook

CSC444 Lec03 14