

Topic 9:

Lighting & Reflection models

- Lighting & reflection
- The Phong reflection model
 - diffuse component
 - ambient component
 - specular component


Ng et al, SIGGRAPH'04




Ng et al, SIGGRAPH'04



Ng et al, SIGGRAPH'04




Light Sources




Main sources of light:

- point source
- distant source (spotlight)
- extended source (aka area light source)
- secondary reflection


Area Light Source, Direct Lighting



Area Light Source, Indirect Lighting



Modelling Reflection: Diffuse Reflection




Diffuse reflection

- Represents "matte" component of reflected light
- Usually caused by "rough" surfaces (clay, eggshell, etc)


Modelling Reflection: Diffuse Reflection

Brad Smith, Wikipedia



Diffusely-shaded object

θ_i = angle of incidence




Panjasan, Wikipedia

Diffuse reflection

- Represents "matte" component of reflected light
- Usually caused by "rough" surfaces (clay, eggshell, etc)

Modelling Reflection: Specular Reflection



Specular reflection:


- Represents shiny component of reflected light
- Caused by mirror-like reflection off of smooth or polished surfaces (plastics, polished metal, etc)

Modelling Reflection: Specular Reflection

Romeiro et al, ECCV'08



mirror-like sphere




Specular reflection:

- Represents shiny component of reflected light
- Caused by mirror-like reflection off of smooth or polished surfaces (plastics, polished metal, etc)

Modelling Reflection: Specular Reflection

Romeiro et al, ECCV'08





Specular reflection:

- Represents shiny component of reflected light
- Caused by mirror-like reflection off of smooth or polished surfaces (plastics, polished metal, etc)

Modelling Reflection: Specular Reflection

Romeiro et al, ECCV'08





Specular reflection:

- Represents shiny component of reflected light
- Caused by mirror-like reflection off of smooth or polished surfaces (plastics, polished metal, etc)

Modelling Reflection: Specular Reflection

Brad Smith, Wikipedia




Panjasan, Wikipedia

Specular reflection:

- Represents shiny component of reflected light
- Caused by mirror-like reflection off of smooth or polished surfaces (plastics, polished metal, etc)

Modelling Reflection: Transmission




Transmission:

- Caused by materials that are not perfectly opaque
- Examples include glass, water and translucent materials such as skin

Gu et al, EGSR'07



Modelling Reflection: Sub-surface Scattering



Subsurface scattering:

- Represents the component of reflected light that scatters in the material's interior (after transmission) before exiting again
- Examples include skin, milk, fog, etc.

Rendering w/ no subsurface scattering (opaque skin)



Jensen et al, SIGGRAPH'01

Rendering with subsurface scattering (translucent skin)



Jensen et al, SIGGRAPH'01

Rendering w/ no subsurface scattering (opaque milk)



Jensen et al, SIGGRAPH'01

Rendering with subsurface scattering (full milk)




Jensen et al, SIGGRAPH'01

Rendering with subsurface scattering (skim milk)




Jensen et al, SIGGRAPH'01

The Common Modes of “Light Transport”



The Phong Reflectance Model




Phong model: A simple, computationally-efficient model that has 3 components:

- Diffuse
- Ambient
- Specular

The Phong Reflectance Model

Brad Smith, Wikipedia



Ambient

+

Diffuse

+

Specular

=

Phong Reflection

Phong model: A simple, computationally-efficient model that has 3 components:


- Diffuse
- Ambient
- Specular

Topic 9:

Lighting & Reflection models

- Lighting & reflection
- The Phong reflection model
 - diffuse component
 - ambient component
 - specular component


Phong Illumination: The Diffuse Component




- A diffuse point looks the same from all viewing positions
- Simplest case: a single, point light source

Phong Illumination: The Diffuse Component

Brad Smith, Wikipedia




θ_i = angle of incidence



Panjasan, Wikipedia

- A diffuse point looks the same from all viewing positions
- Simplest case: a single, point light source

The Diffuse Component: Basic Equation




- A diffuse point looks the same from all viewing positions
- Simplest case: a single, point light source

$$I_{\vec{P}} = r_d \cdot I \cdot \max(0, \vec{s} \cdot \vec{n})$$

$I_{\vec{P}}$: intensity at projection of \vec{P}
 r_d : fraction of light reflected
 I : intensity of source
 \vec{s} : direction of light source
 \vec{n} : outward unit surface normal
 $\vec{s} = \frac{\vec{l} - \vec{P}}{\|\vec{l} - \vec{P}\|}$

The Diffuse Component: Basic Equation



- A diffuse point looks the same from all viewing positions

independent of \vec{c}

$$I_{\vec{P}} = r_d \cdot I \cdot \max\left(0, \vec{s} \cdot \vec{n}\right)$$


intensity at projection of \vec{P}

direction of light source $\vec{s} = \frac{\vec{l} - \vec{P}}{\|\vec{l} - \vec{P}\|}$


outward unit surface normal

The Diffuse Component: Foreshortening

As the angle θ_i between \vec{s} and \vec{n} increases, the area of the surface around \bar{p} receiving light increases
 \Rightarrow the light intensity received per unit area decreases
 this is called foreshortening
 \Rightarrow point \bar{p} will appear dimmer



material





$$I_{\bar{p}} = r_d \cdot I \cdot \max(0, \vec{s} \cdot \vec{n})$$

accounts for dimming due to foreshortening

The Diffuse Component: Foreshortening

As the angle θ_i between \vec{s} and \vec{n} increases, the area of the surface around \bar{p} receiving light increases
 \Rightarrow the light intensity received per unit area decreases
this is called foreshortening
 \Rightarrow point \bar{p} will appear dimmer

Q: What is the intensity at \bar{p} 's projection?



$$I_{\bar{p}} = r_d \cdot I \cdot \max(0, \vec{s} \cdot \vec{n})$$

accounts for dimming due to foreshortening

The Diffuse Component: Foreshortening





As the angle θ_i between \vec{s} and \vec{n} increases, the area of the surface around \bar{p} receiving light increases

⇒ the light intensity received per unit area decreases

this is called foreshortening

⇒ point \bar{p} will appear dimmer

Q: What is the intensity at \bar{p} 's projection?



$$I_{\bar{p}} = r_d \cdot I \cdot \max(0, \vec{s} \cdot \vec{n})$$

accounts for dimming due to foreshortening

The Diffuse Component: Foreshortening





As the angle θ_i between \vec{s} and \vec{n} increases, the area of the surface around \bar{p} receiving light increases

⇒ the light intensity received per unit area decreases

this is called foreshortening

⇒ point \bar{p} will appear dimmer

Q: What is the intensity at \bar{p} 's projection?



$$I_{\bar{p}} = r_d \cdot I \cdot \max\left(0, \vec{s} \cdot \vec{n}\right)$$

accounts for dimming due to foreshortening

The Diffuse Component: Self-Shadowing





As the angle θ_i between \vec{s} and \vec{n} increases, the area of the surface around \bar{p} receiving light increases

⇒ the light intensity received per unit area decreases

this is called foreshortening

⇒ point \bar{p} will appear dimmer


Q: What is the intensity at \bar{p} 's projection?



$$I_{\bar{p}} = r_d \cdot I \cdot \max(0, \vec{s} \cdot \vec{n})$$

accounts for cases where light source not visible

The Diffuse Component: Multiple Lights




- A diffuse point looks the same from all viewing positions
- When the scene is illuminated by many point sources, we just sum their contributions to the diffuse component

$$I_{\vec{p}} = r_d \sum_i I_i \max(0, \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{n})$$

intensity at projection of \vec{p}

intensity of source i

The Diffuse Component: Incorporating Color




- A diffuse point looks the same from all viewing positions
- Colored sources and colored objects are handled by considering the RGB components of each color separately

$$I_{\vec{P},q} = r_{d,q} \sum_i I_{i,q} \max(0, \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{n}) \quad q=R,G,B$$

intensity of color component q at projection of \vec{P}

intensity of color component q for light source i

The Diffuse Component: General Equation



Putting it all together:

$$I_{\bar{p},q} = r_{d,q} \sum_i I_{i,q} \max(0, \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{n})$$


Topic 9:

Lighting & Reflection models

- Lighting & reflection
- The Phong reflection model
 - diffuse component
 - ambient component
 - specular component

Phong Reflection: Ambient Component


Brad Smith, Wikipedia



- Diffuse reflectance with a single point light source produces strong shadows
- Surface patches with $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$ are perfectly black
⇒ looks unnatural


Phong Reflection: Ambient Component

Solution #1: Use many light sources as an approximation to a single area light source



- Diffuse reflectance with a single point light source produces strong shadows
- Surface patches with $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$ are perfectly black
⇒ looks unnatural

Area Light Source, Direct Lighting



"hard" shadow: points not visible from light source

"soft" shadows created because points visible from part of area light source

Phong Reflection: Ambient Component

Solution #2: (Simpler) Use an "ambient" term that is independent of any light source or surface normal


This term is not meaningful in terms of physics but improves appearance over pure diffuse reflection

can also have 3 such eqs for R, G, B components

$$I_{\bar{p}} = r_a \cdot I_a$$

ambient reflection coefficient (often $r_a = r_d$)


intensity of ambient illumination



- Diffuse reflectance with a single point light source produces strong shadows
- Surface patches with $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$ are perfectly black
⇒ looks unnatural

Phong Reflection: Ambient Component

Brad Smith, Wikipedia



Diffuse

Brad Smith, Wikipedia



Ambient


- Diffuse reflectance with a single point light source produces strong shadows
- Surface patches with $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$ are perfectly black
⇒ looks unnatural

Topic 9:

Lighting & Reflection models

- Lighting & reflection
- The Phong reflection model
 - diffuse component
 - ambient component
 - specular component


Phong Reflection: The Specular Component



Specular reflection:

- Represents shiny component of reflected light
- Caused by mirror-like reflection off of smooth or polished surfaces (plastics, polished metal, etc)


The Ideal Specular Component



- Idea: For each incident direction \vec{s} there is one emitted direction \vec{r}
- It is an idealization of a mirror:
$$\underset{\theta_i}{\text{angle}(\vec{n}, \vec{s})} = \underset{\theta_r}{\text{angle}(\vec{n}, \vec{r})}$$


The Ideal Specular Component

Romeiro et al, ECCV'08



- Idea: For each incident direction \vec{s} there is one emittant direction \vec{r}
- It is an idealization of a mirror:
 $\text{angle}(\vec{n}, \vec{s}) = \text{angle}(\vec{n}, \vec{r})$
- Q: How can we express \vec{r} in terms of \vec{n}, \vec{s} ?

The Ideal Specular Component



$$\vec{r} = -\vec{s} + 2\vec{t}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \vec{t} &= \text{projection of vector } \vec{s} \text{ onto} \\ &\quad \text{vector } \vec{n} \\ &= (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) \vec{n} \end{aligned}$$

} \Rightarrow

$$\vec{r} = -\vec{s} + 2(\vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) \vec{n}$$

. Q: How can we express \vec{r} in terms of \vec{n}, \vec{s} ?

The Ideal Specular Component




Ideal specular reflection term:

is 1 if and only if camera is along vector \vec{r}

$$I = r_s I_s \delta(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b} - 1) \quad \text{where} \quad \delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

r_s : specular reflection coefficient
 I_s : intensity of specular light source
 \vec{r} : unit vector in camera direction
 $\vec{b} = \frac{\vec{c} - \vec{p}}{\|\vec{c} - \vec{p}\|}$

The Ideal Specular Component



Ideal specular reflection term:


is 1 if and only if camera is along vector \vec{r}

$$I = r_s I_s \delta(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b} - 1) \quad \text{where} \quad \delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

r_s : specular reflection coefficient
 I_s : intensity of specular light source
 \vec{r} : unit vector in camera direction
 $\vec{b} = \frac{\vec{c} - \vec{p}}{\|\vec{c} - \vec{p}\|}$

The Ideal Specular Component

Brad Smith, Wikipedia



Ideal specular reflection term:



is 1 if and only if camera is along vector \vec{r}

$$I = r_s I_s \delta(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b} - 1) \quad \text{where} \quad \delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$


specular reflection coefficient r_s intensity of specular light source I_s unit vector in camera direction $\vec{b} = \frac{\vec{c} - \vec{p}}{\|\vec{c} - \vec{p}\|}$

Phong Reflection: Off-Specular Reflection

Brad Smith, Wikipedia



The Specular Component: Basic Equation




In reality, most specular surfaces reflect light into directions near the perfect mirror direction (eg. highlights in plastics, metals)

⇒ replace delta function by a cosine power:

$$I = r_s I_s \max\left(0, \underbrace{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b}}_{=1 \text{ when } \vec{r} = \vec{b}}\right)^\alpha$$

$\alpha \leftarrow$ when $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ term approaches ideal specular reflection term

The Specular Component: Visualization




The length of vector $(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha \vec{b}$ represents the contribution of the specular term when the camera is along \vec{b}

$$I = r_s I_s \max\left(0, \underbrace{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{b}}_{\substack{\alpha \leftarrow \text{when } \alpha \rightarrow \infty \text{ term} \\ \text{approaches ideal specular} \\ \text{reflection term}}} \right)$$

$= 1$ when $\vec{r} = \vec{b}$

Phong Illumination: The General Equation




$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = \underbrace{r_a I_a}_{\text{ambient}} + \underbrace{r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s})}_{\text{diffuse}} + \underbrace{r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha}_{\text{specular}}$$

intensity at projection of point \bar{p}

Phong Illumination: The General Equation

Brad Smith, Wikipedia



Ambient + Diffuse + Specular = Phong Reflection

$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = \underbrace{r_a I_a}_{\text{ambient}} + \underbrace{r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s})}_{\text{diffuse}} + \underbrace{r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha}_{\text{specular}}$$

intensity at projection of point \bar{p}


Topic 10:

Shading

- Introduction to Shading
- Flat Shading
- Interpolative Shading
 - Gouraud shading
 - Phong shading
 - Triangle scan-conversion with shading

Shading: Motivation

- Suppose we know how to compute the appearance of a point
- How do we shade a whole polygonal mesh?



Answer

assign intensities to every pixel at the mesh's projection in accordance with Phong reflection model



$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = \underbrace{r_a I_a}_{\text{intensity at projection of point } \bar{P}} + \underbrace{r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s})}_{\text{ambient}} + \underbrace{r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha}_{\text{diffuse}} + \underbrace{\phantom{r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha}}_{\text{specular}}$$

Shading: Motivation

Given

- camera center (\vec{c})
- light source position (\vec{e})
- intensity of ambient, diffuse & specular sources (I_a, I_d, I_s)
- reflection coefficients (r_a, r_d, r_s)
- specular exponent (α)

Shade every pixel in triangle's projection





$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = \underbrace{r_a I_a}_{\text{ambient}} + \underbrace{r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s})}_{\text{diffuse}} + \underbrace{r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha}_{\text{specular}}$$

intensity at projection of point P

Shading: Problem Definition

Given

- view {
 - camera center (\vec{c})
- scene {
 - light source position (\vec{l})
 - intensity of ambient, diffuse & specular sources (I_a, I_d, I_s)
- material {
 - reflection coefficients (r_a, r_d, r_s)
 - specular exponent (α)
- triangle {
 - normals at $\vec{P}_1, \vec{P}_2, \vec{P}_3$



Goal

- compute color/intensity at an interior point



$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha$$

intensity at projection of point P
ambient
diffuse
specular

Shading: Problem Definition

Given

- view {
 - camera center (\vec{c})
- scene {
 - light source position (\vec{l})
 - intensity of ambient, diffuse & specular sources (I_a, I_d, I_s)
- material {
 - reflection coefficients (r_a, r_d, r_s)
 - specular exponent (α)
- triangle {
 - normals at $\vec{P}_1, \vec{P}_2, \vec{P}_3$



Goal

- compute color/intensity at an interior pixel

$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha$$

intensity at projection of point P
ambient
diffuse
specular

Basic Approaches to Shading

Flat shading



Draw all triangle points \bar{P} with identical color/intensity

Gouraud shading

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}

Phong shading

- ① Interpolate $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ to get $\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}$ at \bar{P}
- ② Compute $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$



$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^q$$

intensity at projection of point \bar{P} ambient diffuse specular

Topic 10:


Shading

- Introduction to Shading
- **Flat Shading**
- Interpolative Shading
 - Gouraud shading
 - Phong shading
 - Triangle scan-conversion with shading


Flat Shading: Main Idea

Flat shading

Draw all triangle points \bar{P} with identical color/intensity



- All points have same normal \vec{n} (i.e. triangle is "flat")
- Phong model applied to center of triangle, $\bar{P}_* = \frac{1}{3}(\bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_2 + \bar{P}_3)$ (i.e. \vec{b}, \vec{s} computed for \bar{P}_*)
- Triangle filled with color/intensity $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$



$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha$$


intensity at projection of point P
ambient
diffuse
specular

Flat Shading: Main Idea



Flat shading -

Draw all triangle points \vec{p} with identical color/intensity

Sphere with flat shading



Jalo, wikipedia



$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^\alpha$$

intensity at projection of point \vec{p} ambient diffuse specular



Flat Shading: Key Issues

Flat shading

Draw all triangle points \bar{P} with identical color/intensity

Issues

- For large triangles:
 - specular term is poor approximation because highlights should be sharp (often better to drop this term)
 - flat shading essentially assumes a distant light source
- Triangle boundaries are usually visible (people very sensitive to intensity steps)



$$L(\bar{b}, \bar{n}, \bar{s}) = \underbrace{r_a I_a}_{\text{intensity at projection of point } \bar{P}} + \underbrace{r_d I_d}_{\text{ambient}} \underbrace{\max(0, \bar{n} \cdot \bar{s})}_{\text{diffuse}} + \underbrace{r_s I_s}_{\text{specular}} \underbrace{\max(0, \bar{r} \cdot \bar{b})^2}_{\text{specular}}$$



Flat Shading: Key Issues

Flat shading

Draw all triangle points \bar{P} with identical color/intensity

Issues

- For large triangles:
 - specular term is poor approximation because highlights should be sharp (often better to drop this term)
 - flat shading essentially assumes a distant light source
- Triangle boundaries are usually visible (people very sensitive to intensity steps)



One solution

Since flat shading treats a triangle as a point, use small triangles!


Topic 10:

Shading


- Introduction to Shading
- Flat Shading
- Interpolative Shading
 - Gouraud shading
 - Phong shading
 - Triangle scan-conversion with shading

Interpolated Shading

Jalo, wikipedia



FLAT SHADING




PHONG SHADING

Interpolative Shading: Basic Approaches


Gouraud shading

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}



Phong shading

- ① Interpolate $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ to get $\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}$ at \bar{P}
- ② Compute $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$



$$L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n}_i \cdot \vec{s}_i) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{b}_i)^\alpha$$

intensity at projection of point \bar{P} ambient diffuse specular

Topic 10:


Shading

- Introduction to Shading
- Flat Shading
- Interpolative Shading
 - Gouraud shading
 - Phong shading
 - Triangle scan-conversion with shading

Gouraud Shading: Computation at Vertices


Gouraud shading

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}



Notes

- Vectors \vec{b}_i, \vec{s}_i computed directly from \bar{P}_i, \bar{c} and \bar{e}
- Many possible ways to assign a normal to a vertex




$$L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n}_i \cdot \vec{s}_i) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r}_i \cdot \vec{b}_i)^q$$

intensity at projection of point P
ambient
diffuse
specular

Gouraud Shading: Computation at Vertices

Gouraud shading


- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}



Notes

- Vectors \vec{b}_i, \vec{s}_i computed directly from \bar{P}_i, \bar{c} and \bar{e}
- Many possible ways to assign a normal to a vertex:


- ① \vec{n}_j is the average of the normals of all faces that contain vertex \bar{P}_j



Gouraud Shading: Computation at Vertices

Gouraud shading


- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}



Notes

- Vectors \vec{b}_i, \vec{s}_i computed directly from \bar{P}_i, \bar{c} and \bar{e}
- Many possible ways to assign a normal to a vertex:

\vec{n}_j is the normal of a point sample on a parametric surface, computed when sampling points to create the original mesh





Gouraud Shading: Computation at Pixels

Gouraud shading -

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \vec{P}

This step is integrated into the standard triangle-filling algorithm discussed in previous lecture




Gouraud Shading: Computation at Pixels


Gouraud shading -

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}

This step is integrated into the standard triangle-filling algorithm discussed in previous lecture



Gouraud-shaded triangle




Yzmo, Wikipedia

Gouraud Shading: Comparisons


Gouraud shading

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}



Comparison to flat shading

- ⊕ No visible seams between mesh triangles
- ⊕ Smooth, visually pleasing intensity variations that "mask" coarse geometry
- ⊖ Specular highlights still a problem for large triangles (why?)




Gouraud Shading: Comparisons



Gouraud shading -

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P}

Gouraud-shaded specular sphere



Jalo, Wikipedia




Gouraud Shading: Comparisons

Gouraud shading

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \bar{P} :


$$L = \beta L_1 + \gamma L_2 + \epsilon L_3$$

↑ constants determined by interpolation alg.



Comparison to flat shading

- ⊕ No visible seams between mesh triangles
- ⊕ Smooth, visually pleasing intensity variations that "mask" coarse geometry
- ⊖ Specular highlights still a problem for large triangles (why?)




Gouraud Shading: Comparisons


Gouraud shading

- ① Compute $L_i = L(\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i)$ for each vertex
- ② Interpolate the L_i 's to get value at \vec{p} :

$$L = \beta L_1 + \gamma L_2 + \epsilon L_3$$



Jalo, Wikipedia



-
- ① suppose specular term non-zero only in this region
 - ② then none of the L_i 's will include a non-zero specular term
 - ③ the interpolated value at \vec{p} will erroneously not include a non-zero specular term

Topic 10:


Shading

- Introduction to Shading
- Flat Shading
- **Interpolative Shading**
 - Gouraud shading
 - **Phong shading**
 - Triangle scan-conversion with shading

Phong Shading: Main Idea


Phong shading

- ① Interpolate $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ to get $\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}$ at \vec{P}
- ② Compute $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$



Comparison to Gouraud shading

- ⊕ Smooth intensity variations as in Gouraud shading
- ⊕ Handles specular highlights correctly, even for large triangles (Why?)




$$L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}) = r_a I_a + r_d I_d \max(0, \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}) + r_s I_s \max(0, \vec{r} \cdot \vec{b})^q$$

intensity at projection of point P
ambient
diffuse
specular

Phong Shading: Comparisons


Phong shading

- ① Interpolate $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ to get $\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}$ at \bar{P}
- ② Compute $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$



Comparison to Gouraud shading

- ⊕ Smooth intensity variations as in Gouraud shading
- ⊕ Handles specular highlights correctly, even for large triangles (Why?)




it is possible to have a significant specular component at \bar{P} even when all vertices have a negligible specular component


Phong Shading: Comparisons

Phong shading


- ① Interpolate $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ to get $\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}$ at \bar{P}
- ② Compute $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$




Hsien-Hsin Sean Lee, GaTech



Flat shading



Gouraud shading




Phong shading

Phong Shading: Comparisons


Phong shading

- ① Interpolate $\vec{b}_i, \vec{n}_i, \vec{s}_i$ to get $\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s}$ at \vec{P}
- ② Compute $L(\vec{b}, \vec{n}, \vec{s})$



Comparison to Gouraud shading

- ⊕ Smooth intensity variations as in Gouraud shading
- ⊕ Handles specular highlights correctly, even for large triangles (Why?)
- ⊖ Computationally less efficient (but ok on today's HW!)
(must interpolate 3 vectors & evaluate Phong reflection model at each triangle pixel)





Topic 10:

Shading

- Introduction to Shading
- Flat Shading
- Interpolative Shading
 - Gouraud shading
 - Phong shading
- Triangle scan-conversion with shading

Scan Conversion with Z-Buffering & Shading





Step a: Build edge list

for each scanline,
store x-intersection,
pseudodepth and
 of each edge
pixel

Step b: Fill zbuffer &
color at triangle pixels

for each triangle pixel in
scanline, interpolate
& pseudodepth & compare
to z-buffer

Scan Conversion with Z-Buffering & Shading





Step a: Build edge list

for each scanline,
store x-intersection,
pseudodepth and
 of each edge
pixel

Step b: Fill zbuffer &
color at triangle pixels

for each triangle pixel in
scanline, interpolate
& pseudodepth & compare
to z-buffer

Scan Conversion with Gouraud Shading





Step a: Build edge list

for each scanline,
store x-intersection,
pseudodepth and
L value of each edge
pixel

Step b: Fill zbuffer &
color at triangle pixels

for each triangle pixel in
scanline, interpolate **L value**
& pseudodepth & compare
to z-buffer

Scan Conversion with Phong Shading





Step a: Build edge list

for each scanline,
store x-intersection,
pseudodepth and
 $\vec{n}, \vec{s}, \vec{b}$ of each edge
pixel

Step b: Fill zbuffer &
color at triangle pixels

for each triangle pixel in
scanline, interpolate $\vec{n}, \vec{s}, \vec{b}$
& pseudodepth & compare
to z-buffer

Edge List Construction (w/ Gouraud Shading)




Step a: Build edge list

for each scanline,
store x-intersection,
pseudodepth and
L value of each edge
pixel

for each edge $[(x_u, y_u, d_u, L_u), (x_e, y_e, d_e, L_e)]$
with $y_u > y_e$:
 $x = x_e, d = d_e, \Delta x = \frac{x_u - x_e}{y_u - y_e}, \Delta d = \frac{d_u - d_e}{y_u - y_e}$
 $\Delta L = \frac{L_u - L_e}{y_u - y_e}$
 for $(y = y_e; y < y_u; y++)$
 add (x, d, L) to list of scanline y
 sort the list
 $x = x + \Delta x, d = d + \Delta d, L = L + \Delta L$

Scanline Filling with Z-Buffering & Gouraud



z-buffer & image pixels

| | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| y_6 | | d_6 L_6 | | | | |
| y_5 | | d_5 L_5 | d_5' L_5' | | | |
| y_4 | | d_4 L_4 | | d_4' L_4' | | |
| y_3 | | d_3 L_3 | | d_3' L_3' | | |
| y_2 | | d_2 L_2 | | | d_2' L_2' | |
| y_1 | | d_1 L_1 | | | | d_1' L_1' |
| | x_1 | x_2 | x_3 | x_4 | x_5 | x_6 |

$y^- = \min(y_a, y_b, y_c)$ $y^+ = \max(y_a, y_b, y_c)$
 for ($y = y^-$; $y \leq y^+$; $y++$)
 get (x_e, d_e, L_e) and (x_u, d_u, L_u) from
 edge list of y , with $x_e < x_u$
 $\Delta d = \frac{d_u - d_e}{x_u - x_e}$ $\Delta L = \frac{L_u - L_e}{x_u - x_e}$
 for ($x = x_e$, $d = d_e$, $L = L_e$; $x \leq x_u$; $x++$)
 if $d < \text{zbuffer}(x, y)$
 put pixel (x, y, L) , $\text{zbuffer}(x, y) = d$
 $d = d + \Delta d$ $L = L + \Delta L$

Step b1 Fill zbuffer & color at triangle pixels
 for each triangle pixel in scanline, interpolate **L value** & pseudodepth & compare to z-buffer