Background

INTRODUCTION

PhotoFile allows you to take digital photographs and immediately annotate them. The system is designed for engineers, designers and ethnographers who conduct observational research in the field.

DESIGN PROBLEM

- Engineers, designers, and ethnographers often go out into the field to observe analvse and systems. Conducting observational research for a complex system can be a challenging and intricate task. This is because:
- Researchers may need to examine many items. This includes the system itself, the environment around it the people who use it, and how they use it.
- When various types of data need to be collected. many different specialized tools need to be used.

environment, and users. Tools to collect data include cameras, notes, measuring devices, and recording devices.

Researchers collect data about the system,



Researchers use observations to compile results of system analysis

STAKEHOLDERS

The primary stakeholders are engineers, designers, and ethnographers. Others who may be affected by the system include clients, supervisors, as well as users of the system being analyzed. Nine participants responded to a fifteen minute long, open and closedended questionnaire.

Designing PhotoFile: A Tool For Documenting Observations

Tira Cohene tcohene@dgp.toronto.edu **Department of Computer Science**, **Human-Computer Interaction** University of Toronto, Canada



CONCLUSIONS & RECCOMENDATIONS

Next iteration of prototype:

- functionality.

EVALUATION RESULTS

- Inconsistent labels caused confusion.

Heuristics to Re-examine:

Visibility & Recog

Flexibility & Effici

MAJOR OBSERVATIONS	DESIGN REQUIREMENTS		CONCEPTUAL DESIGN & FUNCTIONALITY
The purpose of conducting research varies from researcher to esearcher. Examples of things observed in field work: perations, procedures, tasks, users, etc.	The system can't assume too much about the stakeholders, nor the environment in which the researcher is using the system. System functionality should focus on commonly used tasks and tools that are not specific to an environment or a situation.		Annotation tools should satisfy general, common needs. As well, templates may not be an effective option since there is so much variability among the users.
esearchers use many tools and artifacts while conducting field ork. E.g: paper, pen, documents, cameras, recording devices, otes, lists, documents, and schedules. The contents have to be ganized and the tools have to be physically managed.	Annotation tools can 'replace' the tools and artifacts that researcher use in the field. This will facilitate multitasking and reduce the need for using multiple tools.		The following annotation tools replace the physical tools: text entry, measurement, audio recordings, timestamp, and sketch. Other tools may also be necessary and will be determined through usability testing.
esearchers use the tools listed above to conduct the following sks: describe observations, note time, measure objects, sketch, cord audio/video, and follow up with any post mortem issues.	Annotation tools can allow users to conduct similar tasks as the tools and artifacts which they replace.	E	Ensure that each Annotation tool adequately replaces the physical tool. E.g. the text entry tool allows users to describe observations.
lost people feel that photographs are a valuable contribution to eld work.	Provide a mechanism for taking photographs. Provide a mechanism for integrating the photographs with other data.	S	Provide functionality to make annotations directly on photographs.
The people who don't take pictures on the field do so because hey feel it would jeopardize the 'naturalness' of the environment.	In order to maintain a natural atmosphere, photo taking should be as ubiquitous as possible.	G	For example, users won't need to lift the camera lens to eye leve to take a photo. Users can focus lens on an area, or 'snap' a photo, using one of several mechanisms (in addition to a button)
Nost people indicated that they multitask while conducting field vork.	The system should allow users to: perform more than one annotation on a photo; switch between photos, and allow interruptions in the workflow.	N	Multiple annotations can be made on one photograph. Provide two togglable modes: 'View' mode and 'Album' mode. Allow use to save and return to (multiple) annotation layers.
People hold the PDA in one hand, with the stylus in another (this s important with regards to what additional tasks they can hysically perform).	Since both hands are occupied, the photo taking mechanism should not require the full hand. E.g. Should not expect user's to stop writing to look through a lens and focus.		The mechanism can be an icon on the monitor, a physical buttor on the PDA, aligned with the way the device is held, and/or a button on the stylus. Clicking a button on the stylus makes use o the metaphor of clicking a pen to expose the ballpoint.
People equally prefer categorizing photos chronologically and/or categorically (and both add great value).	Since participants responded that they categorize photos both chronologically and categorically, both should be available.		Photographs are automatically time-stamped; 'Album' mode will be a folder structure; both photos and folders can be named.
Using the listed tools, most people still forget to collect some sort of data.	Since researchers often forget to collect some sorts of data, the markup tools can serve as cues for collecting salient data.		Use icons for annotation tools (as opposed to hidden menus). Icons must accurately represent corresponding functionality.

The PhotoFile addresses researchers specialized needs for documenting observations. These needs can be summarized by 3 usability goals:

- > Effectiveness
- > Efficiency
- > Ubiquity

> Redesign in order to account for issues discovered during usability testing: the need for clear icons, consistent labels, clear functionality, error prevention, useful tools, and flexible

Formative evaluation of design in order to verify overall effectiveness, efficiency and ubiquity

On average, 17% (2/12) of the icons and labels were not clear to users.

On average, 17% (2/12) of the icons had surprising or undesirable outcomes when selected. Icons loose some meaning due to proximity to other icons with unrelated functionality.

Valuable suggestions: e.g. Hyperlink annotation tool, viewing options such as zoom and pan.

Inability to 'undo' actions, 'delete' annotations, confirm save of layer prior to selecting new photo. Varied preferences for mechanisms to focus camera lens and 'snap' a photo.

> Entire system generally well accepted. All user's speculated that the system can be an efficient organization tool for documenting observations.

gnition	User Control & Freedom	 Match of System and Real World 		
iency	Consistency & Standards	Error Prevention & Recovery		

Results EVALUATION METHOD Usability testing involved 5 potential users, and three stages: 1. Users were asked to complete tasks with think-aloud techniques. First, they traversed through the annotation icons, then they traversed through the Album folders. 2. Using the physical prototype, users were asked to try various mechanisms to simulate rotating the lens to a suitable position, and 'snapping' a photo. 0 0 0 0 3. Users were asked open-ended questions regarding existing as well as additional functionality, as well as general comments. PROTOTYPES 1. Early design established with low fidelity paper prototype. Na 🖾a 🙋 2. Horizontal, interactive prototype with links and animation created in PowerPoint. > Allows users to take several photographs in a scenario. > Can annotate, save, and 0000 organize photos in albums. Annotations are simulated. 3. Physical prototype simulates shape and size of system.