## Pseudodepth in the Z-buffer CSC 418 Tutorial Friday February 13, 2004

Recall the Z-buffer algorithm:

```
for each polygon
for each pixel indexed by i, j
P \leftarrow point on polygon at this pixel
if (depth(P) < depth[i][j])
depth[i][j] \leftarrow depth(P)
color[i][j[ \leftarrow color(P)
end if
end if
end for
```

Assume right-handed eye space.

The point P projected onto the image plane (i.e. near plane) is

$$Q = \{-(fP_x)/P_z, -(fP_y)/P_z\}$$

The depth is

 $depth(P) = sqrt(P_x^2, P_y^2, P_z^2)$ 

This is an expensive calculation for every fragment (what is a fragment?), so we replace it with an easier calculation, using "psedudodepth" ( $\delta$ ).

What about just using  $P_z$ , the distance from the plane perpendicular to the view vector through the eye?

If we keep a consistent denominator among Qx, Qy, and Q $\delta$  (pseudodepth), we can take advantage of homogeneous coordinates to encode perspective projection as a 4x4 matrix.

The goals for pseudodepth:

The denominator should be -  $P_z$ 

The numerator should be easy to compute, i.e. a linear function of  $P_z$ The pseudodepth should be -1 for points on the near plane. Why? The pseudodepth should be 1 for points on the far plane. Why?

```
So pseudodepth(-P<sub>z</sub>) = (a P<sub>z</sub> + b)/(- P<sub>z</sub>) for some a, b

Given goals, 3, 4, solve for a, b:

a = -(F + f) / (F - f)

b = -2Ff / (F - f)

Note the following:

Pseudodepth is a nonlinear function of P<sub>z</sub>.
```

Points closer to the near plane have the highest pseudodepth resolution.

Points closer to the far plane have the lowest pseudodepth resolution.

Resulting perspective transformation matrix:

O =

1	f	0 f	0	0	$* P = \int fPx \\ fDx$
		T	0	0	LPY
	0	0	а	b	aPz + b
	0	0	-1	0	-Pz

This still needs to take into account remapping Px and Py to the normalized view volume, but this is sufficient for the remaining discussion.

What is Q after perspective divide? Denote it  $Q = [Qx, Qy, Q\delta, 1]$ 

Using pseudodepth during scan conversion:

When scan converting a polygon, the linear nature of the pseudodepth numerator can be used to avoid calculating the perspective transformation of each fragment.

As a single example, consider the scan conversion code for a horizontal line (P0, P1) in eye space and its perspective transformation (Q0, Q1):



The eye space line is parameterized by s, and the perspective transformation is parameterized by t.

Here is scan conversion code that includes an incremental update of the pseudodepth  $\delta$ :

```
\begin{split} \delta &\leftarrow Q0\delta \\ delta\delta &\leftarrow (Q1z - Q0z) / (Q1x - Q0x) \\ \text{for } x &\leftarrow Q0x \text{ to } Q1x \\ \delta &+= delta\delta \\ &\text{ if } (\delta < depth(x, y)) \\ & \text{ update depth and color buffers } \\ end \text{ if } \end{split}
```

This speed-up extends straightforwardly for nonhorizontal lines, and also to polygons as with normal scan conversion.

Why does this work?

Assume a = 0, b = 1, f = 1Consider t in [0, 1], deltaT = 1/(Q1x - Q0x) and s in [0, 1], along eye space line and corresponding incremental steps along  $\delta$ , as above.

We need to show  $Q\delta(t)$  is a linear function of t. What is  $Q\delta(t)$ ?  $Q\delta(t) = -1/Pz(s) = 1 / (P0z^*(1-s) + P1z^*s) = 1 / (P0z + s^*(P1z - P0z))$ 

What is s? For every point P(s), there is a corresponding projection Q(t). In other words, for each s there is a corresponding t. However, the correspondence is complicated—this derivation finds that correspondence.

Going back to the previous expression for  $Q\delta(t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Q\delta(t) &= 1 / \{P0z + [Qx(t)*P0z + P0x) / (Qx(t)*(P0z - P1z) + P0x - P1x)] \\ &* (P1z - P0z) \} \end{aligned}$$
  
= 
$$[Qx(t)*(P0z - P1z) + P0x - P1x] / \\ [P0z*(Qx(t)(P0z - P1z) + P0x - P1x) + (Qx(t)*P0z + P0x)*(P1z - P0z)] \end{aligned}$$
  
= 
$$Qx(t) * (P0z - P1z) / (P0x*(P1z - P0z)) + (P0x-P1x) / (P0x*(P1z-P0z)) \end{aligned}$$

Qx(t) is the only term that changes with respect to t, and it is also linear with respect to t, so  $Q\delta(t)$  is linear, hence the pseudodepth is linear in image space.

Why not increment Pz, the eye space depth?

Pz is not a linear function of image space. From above, the image space point  $\{Qx, Qy\}$  is  $\{-fPx/Pz, -fPy/Pz\}$ , a nonlinear relation ship between both Qx and Qy (the scanconverting domain) and Pz.

Why isn't Z linear in screen-space? Considered a foreshortened view of a building (or a checkerboard), which has windows along the side. The windows close by occupy many pixels, the ones far away are tiny. Stepping along pixels will correspond to small steps in Z for the nearby windows, and large steps in Z for the faraway windows.

For a triangle a great deal of computation can be saved:

Incrementally update pDepth along two sides as scan-conversion progresses along scan lines. Scan convert each scan line between these two sides:

